**1. RECOMMENDED ACTION: EFFECT OF EC VOTE TO ACCEPT RECOMMENDED ACTION:**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| X | Accept as requested | X | Change to Existing Practice |
|  | Accept as modified below |  | Status Quo |
|  | Decline |  | Correction |

**2. TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT/MAINTENANCE**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Per Request:** | **Per Recommendation:** |
| X | Initiation | X | Initiation |
|  | Modification |  | Modification |
|  | Correction |  | Correction |
|  | Interpretation |  | Interpretation |
|  | Withdrawal |  | Withdrawal |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Principle (x.1.z) |  | Principle (x.1.z) |
|  | Definition (x.2.z) |  | Definition (x.2.z) |
| X | Business Practice Standard (x.3.z) | X | Business Practice Standard (x.3.z) |
|  | Document (x.4.z) |  | Document (x.4.z) |
|  | Data Element (x.4.z) |  | Data Element (x.4.z) |
|  | Code Value (x.4.z) |  | Code Value (x.4.z) |
|  | X12 Implementation Guide |  | X12 Implementation Guide |
|  | Business Process Documentation |  | Business Process Documentation |

**3. RECOMMENDATION**

**SUMMARY:** Request to add a new standard to be included in NAESB WGQ Version 3.1

**Proposed New NAESB WGQ Standard No. 4.3.*[z1]***

On Informational Postings and Customer Activities Web sites, a Transportation Service Provider may use radio buttons, checkboxes, or similar graphical control elements for implementation of Yes/No code values or other types of code value choices, as set forth in the NAESB WGQ Code Values Dictionaries.

**Proposed new language to appear in the WGQ QEDM Related Standards Booklet Technical Implementation – EBB/EDM at the end of the “Parts of the Page” section, after the “Look-ups” item and before the “Security” item (see page no. 89).**

**Graphical Control Elements**

Graphical Control Elements, including, but not limited to, check boxes or radio buttons, are commonly used in graphical user interfaces (GUIs). Graphical Control Elements allow a user to choose among predefined options.

Below is a Capacity Release example using Graphical Control Elements. Actual implementations may vary.

**Hedge Pipeline and Pizza - Capacity Release Offer**

***Bid Deal Desc*** Yes 🞎 No ⌧

***Prearr Deal Desc*** Yes ⌧ No 🞎

***Business Day Indicator*** Yes ⌧ No 🞎

🞊 ***Recall Notif Timely***

🞊 ***Recall Notif EE***

⭘ ***Recall Notif Eve***

⭘ ***Recall Notif ID1***

⭘ ***Recall Notif ID2***

⭘ ***Recall Notif ID3***

**4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION**

1. **Description of Request:**

Allow checkboxes and radio buttons to indicate “Yes” and/or “No” responses to Data Elements on Transportation Service Provider’s (TSP’s) Electronic Bulletin Boards (EBBs).

It is common practice to indicate a “Yes” response to a question by placing a check mark or an “X” inside of a provided box; however, the NAESB Standards do not specifically allow TSPs to use such checkbox responses in their EBBs. In addition, although we believe that the use of radio buttons for “Yes” and “No” responses do adhere to the current Standards due to the inclusion of the “Yes” and “No” terminology, the Standards also do not explicitly allow for their usage. A standard to optionally allow the usage of checkboxes and radio buttons to provide “Yes” and “No” responses would be beneficial to all users entering such data by providing a faster and more efficient method of response.

1. **Description of Recommendation:**

**Business Practices Subcommittee**

See the following meeting minutes for the Business Practices Subcommittee:

* February 15, 2017
* March 1, 2017

 **Simple Majority Vote - Passed Unanimously**

**Information Requirements / Technical Subcommittee**

See the following meeting minutes for the Joint Information Requirements / Technical Subcommittees:

* March 14-16, 2017

**Motion:**

The NAESB WGQ Joint Information Requirements / Technical Subcommittees recommend no action is needed at this time. A memo is being sent to the Electronic Delivery Mechanism (EDM) Subcommittee for further reivew.

***Motion Passed Unanimously***

**Electronic Delivery Mechanism Subcommittee**

See the following meeting minutes for the Electronic Delivery Mechanism Subcommittee

* March 22, 2017
* March 27, 2017

**Simple Majority Vote – Passed Unanimously**