

Date: June 8, 1998

To: Rae McQuade - Executive Director, GISB
Mike Bray - Chairman, GISB Executive Committee

From: Denise Breeden - Co-Chair, Technical Subcommittee
Jim Buccigross - Co-Chair, Information Requirements
Theresa Hess - Co-Chair, Information Requirements
Kim Van Pelt - Co-Chair, Technical Subcommittee

Subject: Revisions to Ratifications, Final Actions and Version 1.2 Publication

RATIFICATIONS

These requests have previously been ratified by GISB membership. However, portions of the data in the ratification documents were incorrect and therefore, an additional ratification is necessary.

R96005

The EC adopted the Measured Volume Audit Statement, 2.4.6 “as submitted in R96005” (see February 6, 1997 EC minutes, page 5). The data dictionary in the document that was sent to members for ratification did not include the ‘Condition’ for four data elements. The items to be ratified are included in the table below.

Document Name and No.: Measured Volume Audit Statement, 2.4.6

Business Name	Definition	Usage	Condition
Chromatograph	Specifies the source of gas quality information. (Sample device is Chromatograph.)	C	<i>Used only when quality information is provided. Conditional on sample device.</i>
Coefficient	Provides coefficient factor.	C	<i>Not used for EFM orifice or positive meters. Conditional on meter type.</i>
Flow Rate	The flow rate EFM orifice meter.	C	<i>Used only for EFM orifice meters. Conditional on meter type.</i>
Tap Location	The location of the meter tap. Locations are upstream or downstream.	C	<i>Used for orifice meters only. Conditional on meter type.</i>

R96016

Market Execution recommended adding “Reference Contract” to the Nomination, Scheduled Quantity, Request For Confirmation and Confirmation Response. The EC revised the existing “Balancing Contract” (added per R96023) to “Associated Contract”. This data element would take care of both the need for a “Balancing Contract” and for a “Reference Contract”.

The EC adopted the revision. The Validation Code and Reduction Reason that were to be added per the recommendation were not discussed.

The discussion seemed to center around the Nomination data set. Specific data sets were not mentioned in the motion. The response to the question to the EC regarding making the same revisions to the Market

Settlement data sets was: "... whatever conforming changes downstream need to be made should be made." (See EC February 5, 1997 transcript, page 56.) The final actions document shows that the revisions were subsequently made for the Nomination, Flowing Gas and Invoicing data sets, except for the Validation Code and Reduction Reason.

The additional Validation Code and Reduction Reason also were not mentioned in the EC motion. However, somehow the Validation Code is correct. The items to be ratified are included in the table below.

Document Name and No.: Confirmation Response, 1.4.4
 Scheduled Quantity, 1.4.5
 Scheduled Quantity for Operator, 1.4.6

Business Name	Usage	Code Value	Code Value Description	Code Value Definition
Reduction Reason	SO	QER	Quantity Exceeds MDQ of Associated Contract	[No definition necessary]

R96121 A-2

Modifications to existing code value descriptions and/or definitions were adopted by the EC on December 11, 1997. The existing code values were not revised. However, the document distributed for member ratification does not show the correct existing code values. The items to be ratified are included in the table below.

Document Name and No.: Nomination, 1.4.1
 Scheduled Quantity, 1.4.5
 Shipper Imbalance, 2.4.4
 Transportation/Sales Invoice, 3.4.1

Business Name	Usage	Code Value	Code Value Description	Code Value Definition
Transaction Type	(various)	01	Current Business	Default value. Used when no other transaction types are necessary to separately identify quantities.
		02	Authorized Contract Overrun	Transportation which exceeds contract capacity rights for which authorization has been granted.
		03	Imbalance Payback from Transportation Service Provider	A payback of an imbalance from the Transportation Service Provider to the Service Requester.
		04	Imbalance Payback to Transportation Service Provider	A payback of an imbalance from the Service Requester to the Transportation Service Provider.
		05	Plant Thermal Reduction	A reduction in quantity associated with gas processing.
		06	Storage Injection	A quantity of gas for storage injection.

		07	Storage Withdrawal	A quantity of gas for storage withdrawal.
		08	Pooling	A quantity of gas for pooling.
		09	Imbalance Transfer	A transfer of an imbalance between contracts or Service Requesters.

R97027

A new code value description and definition for the ‘Service Code’ data element were adopted by the EC on May 8, 1997. The data element name on the recommendation was incorrectly stated as ‘Service Type’. This was corrected at the EC meeting. The EC revised and adopted the code value definition. However, the word “with” was omitted from the definition in the document that was distributed for ratification. (see EC transcript page 72 for wording). The items to be ratified are included in the table below.

Document Name and No.: Transportation/Sales Invoice, 3.4.1

Business Name	Usage	Code Value	Code Value Description	Code Value Definition
Service Type Code	M	PT	Plant Thermal Reduction	Service used to accommodate transactions related to the reduction of quantity associated <i>with</i> gas processing.

R97029

The data element ‘Transaction Type’ was added to the ‘Transportation/Sales Invoice, 3.4.1’. This data element and its code value descriptions are currently used in other data sets. The code value descriptions that were to be added to the Invoice, (19 existing and 3 new) were listed on the recommendation. This was approved by the EC at their September 12, 1997 meeting. However, only the 3 new code value descriptions were sent out for ratification (due November 24, 1997). The items to be ratified are included in the table below.

Document Name and No.: Transportation/Sales Invoice 3.4.1

Business Name	Usage	Code Value	Code Value Description	Code Value Definition
Transaction Type	M	01	<i>Current Business</i>	<i>Default value. Used when no other transaction types are necessary to separately identify quantities.</i>
		02	<i>Authorized Contract Overrun</i>	<i>Transportation which exceeds contract capacity rights for which authorization has been granted.</i>
		03	<i>Imbalance Payback from Transportation Service Provider</i>	<i>A payback of an imbalance from the Transportation Service Provider to the Service Requester.</i>

		05	<i>Plant Thermal Reduction</i>	<i>A reduction in quantity associated with gas processing.</i>
		06	<i>Storage Injection</i>	<i>A quantity of gas for storage injection.</i>
		07	<i>Storage Withdrawal</i>	<i>A quantity of gas for storage withdrawal.</i>
		08	<i>Pooling</i>	<i>A quantity of gas for pooling.</i>
		09	<i>Imbalance Transfer</i>	<i>A transfer of an imbalance between contracts or Service Requesters.</i>
		10	<i>Cashout</i>	<i>[Undefined]</i>
		11	<i>Storage Inventory Transfer</i>	<i>A transfer of storage inventory between storage contracts or Service Requesters.</i>
		12	<i>Authorized Injection Overrun</i>	<i>Storage injections which exceed contract capacity rights for which authorization has been granted.</i>
		13	<i>Authorized Withdrawal Overrun</i>	<i>Storage withdrawals which exceed contract capacity rights for which authorization has been granted.</i>
		14	<i>Extended Receipt/Delivery Service</i>	<i>A quantity beyond the zone(s) or outside the path(s) of the Service Requester's primary contract rights.</i>
		26	<i>Park</i>	<i>A "park" of quantities at a location by a Service Requester.</i>
		27	<i>Park Withdrawal</i>	<i>A withdrawal of "parked" quantities at a location by the Service Requester.</i>
		28	<i>Loan</i>	<i>A "loan" of quantities at a location from the Transportation Service Provider to the Service Requester.</i>
		29	<i>Loan Payback</i>	<i>A payback of "loaned" quantities at a location from the Service Requester to the Transportation Service Provider.</i>
		31	<i>Meter Bounce</i>	<i>A quantity that changes contracts at an interconnect but does not leave the Transportation Service Provider's system.</i>

		41	Storage Inventory Cycling	Quantity that is injected or withdrawn to satisfy storage inventory cycling requirements.
--	--	----	---------------------------	---

NOTE: The above code value descriptions and definitions reflect the revisions made per R96121 A-2, which was ratified January 19, 1998. These changes were subsequent to the addition of the data element and code value descriptions to the Invoice per R97029.

R97038

The code values, code value descriptions and code value definitions were adopted per the recommendation by the EC at their October 16, 1997 meeting. However, the code values listed on the document that was distributed to members for ratification were incorrect. The items to be ratified are included in the table below.

Document Name and No.: Confirmation Response, 1.4.4
Scheduled Quantity, 1.4.5
Scheduled Quantity for Operator, 1.4.6

Business Name	Usage	Code Value	Code Value Description	Code Value Definition
Reduction Reason	SO	012	No Corresponding Nomination	A nomination does not exist which matches up. This does not refer to nominations which contain mismatched quantities.
		013	No Corresponding Nomination at Receipt Location	A nomination at the receipt location does not exist which matches. This does not refer to nominations which contain mismatched quantities.
		014	No Corresponding Nomination at Delivery Location	A nomination at the delivery location does not exist which matches up. This does not refer to nominations which contain mismatched quantities.

R97052/R97053

The recommendation was adopted by the EC at their October 16, 1997 meeting. The recommendation submitted by Information Requirements contained an error which was corrected prior to the EC vote. The error was: On page 2 of the recommendation form, the 'Condition' for the 'Total Surcharges' data element in the 'UPPD - Validation, 5.4.8' should have read: "Mandatory when Validation Codes regarding the corresponding data element in the UPPD are returned in the UPPD Validation." This correction was made at the EC meeting, prior to the vote. (See EC transcript pp. 144 - 145.)

However, the 'Condition' for the 'Total Surcharges' data element was mistakenly revised to this same language in the 5.4.1, 5.4.2, 5.4.3, 5.4.7 and 5.4.9 data sets. This error appears in the EC minutes of October 16, and in the attachment to the minutes. The incorrect revisions were ratified on January 19, 1998. The items to be ratified are included in the table below.

Document Name and No.: Firm Transportation and Storage - Offer, 5.4.1
 Firm Transportation and Storage - Bid Review, 5.4.2
 Firm Transportation and Storage - Award Notice, 5.4.3
 Upload to Pipeline of Prearranged Deal (UPPD), 5.4.7
 UPPD - Notification, 5.4.9

Business Name	Definition	Usage	Condition
Total Surcharges	Contains the total of all applicable surcharge(s).	C	<i>Required when Surcharge Indicator specifies that a total of all applicable surcharge(s) is provided.</i>

ERRATA TO FINAL ACTIONS (for Version 1.3)

These requests have previously been ratified by GISB membership. The standards, as adopted by the EC, were correctly noted in the final actions documents. However, some headings, titles and summary information are incorrect. In order to prevent confusion, the final actions should be corrected.

Ratified by Membership on January 19, 1998

R97031B

The heading “Modification to GISB Standards – 3.4.1, 3.4.2 and 3.4.3” is incorrect. This was not a revision to existing data sets. A new data set 3.4.X (number to be defined by GISB) was created. The heading should read “New GISB Standard – 3.4.4”.

Ratified by Membership on November 24, 1997

R96125B

The language incorrectly states the revisions being made. However, the tables are correct.

The final actions document should be revised as follows:

- Title should read:
Modification to GISB Standards 2.4.1 and 2.4.2
- The language should read: Add PDA Submitter’s Tracking ID to the Pre-determined Allocation and Pre-determined Allocation Quick Response data sets. Also add code values to the Pre-determined Allocation Quick Response data set.

R97044

The language incorrectly states that ‘Confirming Party’s Capacity Constraint’ was added as a code value description for ‘Reduction Reason’ in the ‘Scheduled Quantity, 1.4.5’. This was not part of the recommendation that was approved by the EC on September 12, 1997. However, the table on the ratification document is correct. Also, the language does not include the revisions that were adopted for the ‘Scheduled Quantity for Operators, 1.4.6’. Again, the table is correct.

The final actions document should be revised as follows:

- Title should read:
Modification to GISB Standards – 1.4.4, 1.4.5 and 1.4.6
- For Scheduled Quantity document (Standard 1.4.5): For data element “Reduction Reason”, delete:
Add code value “Confirming Party’s Capacity Constraint” with the definition “A constraint on the Confirming Party’s system”.
- Add:
Scheduled Quantity for Operator document (Standard 1.4.6): For data element “Reduction Reason”, Add code value “Confirming Party’s Capacity Constraint” with the definition “A constraint on the Confirming Party’s system.”
Add code value “Pipeline Capacity Constraint” with the definition “A constraint on the Transportation Service Provider’s system.”
Add code value “Confirming Party’s Capacity Constraint at Receipt Location” with the definition “A reduction of the nominated receipt quantity due to a constraint on the Confirming Party’s system.”
Add code value “Confirming Party’s Capacity Constraint at Delivery Location” with the definition “A reduction of the nominated delivery quantity due to a constraint on the Confirming Party’s system.”

ERRATA TO VERSION 1.2

CAPACITY RELEASE RELATED STANDARDS BOOK:

R96082

The request and recommendation were for the addition of 'Surcharge Identification Code' value descriptions. The EC revised them to 'Rate Identification Code' value descriptions at their March 5, 1997 meeting. The ratification documents are correct. However, in the SAC segment tables (which are in the 'ASC X12 Implementation' section), the new 'Capacity' code value description appears under the 'Surcharge Identification Codes'. It should appear under the 'Rate Identification Codes'. This occurs in the Offer 5.4.1, Bid Review 5.4.2, Award Notice 5.4.3, UPPD 5.4.7 and UPPD - Notification 5.4.9.

cc: Carl Caldwell
Norm Walker
Bob Wallenhorst