# RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

**Enron Gas Pipeline Group** Request No.: R99040 Requester:

ecommended Action:  X Accept as requested  Accept as modified below  Decline	Effect of EC Vote to Accept Recommended Action  X Change to Existing Practice  Status Quo
YPE OF MAINTENANCE	
Per Request:	Per Recommendation:
X Initiation	X_Initiation
Modification	Modification
Interpretation	Interpretation
Withdrawal	Withdrawal
Principle (x.1.z)	Principle (x.1.z)
Definition (x.2.z)	Definition (x.2.z)
Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)	Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
Document (x.4.z)	Document (x.4.z)
Data Element (x.4.z)	Data Element (x.4.z)
X Code Value (x.4.z)	X Code Value (x.4.z)
X12 Implementation Guide	X X12 Implementation Guide
<b>Business Process Documentation</b>	<b>Business Process Documentation</b>

Response, Scheduled Quantity and Scheduled Quantity for Operator.

**CODE VALUES LOG** (for addition, modification or deletion of code values)

**Document Name and No.:** Confirmation Response, 1.4.4

Scheduled Quantity, 1.4.5

Scheduled Quantity for Operator, 1.4.6

**Data Element:** Reduction Reason

Code Value Description	Code Value Definition	Code Value
Processing Affidavit Non-Compliance	A reduction in a nominated quantity as a	$\underline{AFF}$
	result of non-compliance with the	
	processing affidavit requirements outlined	
	in TSP's tariff.	



#### RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: Enron Gas Pipeline Group Request No.: R99040

# **TECHNICAL CHANGE LOG** (all instructions to accomplish the recommendation)

**Document Name and No.:** Confirmation Response (1.4.4)

Scheduled Quantity (1.4.5)

Scheduled Quantity for Operator (1.4.6)

# **Description of Change:**

# **G855RRFC - Confirmation Response (1.4.4)**

#### **Transaction Set Tables**

"Reduction Reason (Detail/Sub-detail)" table: alphabetize the table by code value (with the alphabetic code values first followed by the numeric code values)

"Reduction Reason (Detail/Sub-detail)" table: add the following code value and code value description in alphabetical order by code value: AFF - Processing Affidavit Non-Compliance

# **G865SQTS - Scheduled Quantity (1.4.5)**

# **Transaction Set Tables**

"SI 1000/234 Pairs (Sub-detail)" table: for data element Reduction Reason, alphabetize the entries by code value (with the alphabetic code values first followed by the numeric code values)

"SI 1000/234 Pairs (Sub-detail)" table: for data element Reduction Reason, add the following code value and code value description in alphabetical order by code value: AFF - Processing Affidavit Non-Compliance

### **G865SQOP - Scheduled Quantity for Operator (1.4.6)**

#### **Transaction Set Tables**

"SI 1000/234 Pairs (Sub-detail)" table: for data element Reduction Reason, alphabetize the entries by code value (with the alphabetic code values first followed by the numeric code values)

"SI 1000/234 Pairs (Sub-detail)" table: for data element Reduction Reason, add the following code value and code value description in alphabetical order by code value: AFF - Processing Affidavit Non-Compliance

	RECC	OMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUT	IVE COMMITTEE
Requ	uester:	Enron Gas Pipeline Group	Request No.: R99040

# 4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

# a. Description of Request:

Add a Reduction Reason code value to the Confirmation Response (1.4.4), Scheduled Quantity (1.4.5) and Scheduled Quantity for Operator (1.4.6) data sets.

# b. Description of Recommendation:

# **Information Requirements Subcommittee**

♦ Add the following code value description for the Reduction Reason data element in the Scheduled Quantity, Scheduled Quantity for Operator and Confirmation Response:

Code Value Description	Code Value Definition	Code Value
Processing Affidavit Non-Compliance	A reduction in a nominated quantity as a	
	result of non-compliance with the processing	
	affidavit requirements outlined in TSP's	
	<u>tariff.</u>	
Sense of the Room: October 1	2 _ 13 1999 6 In Favor	0. Opposed

Sense of the Ro	om: October 12 -	- 13, 1999	<u>6</u> In Favor	·	<u>0</u> Opposed
Segment Check	(if applicable):				
In Favor:	End-Users	LDCs	Pipelines	Producers	Services
Opposed:	End-Users	LDCs	Pipelines	Producers	Services
Technical Subcommittee	e				
Sense of the Ro	om: Octobe	r 25, 1999	<u>6</u> In Favo	or _	0 Opposed
Segment Check	(if applicable):				
In Favor:	End-Users	LDCs	Pipelines	Producers	Services

\_\_\_\_Pipelines

### c. Business Purpose:

The Reduction Reason 'Processing Affidavit Non-Compliance' will be used to communicate a reduction in nominated quantities as a result of no-compliance with the processing affidavit requirements that are outlined in the TSP's tariff.

\_\_\_End-Users \_\_\_LDCs

# d. Commentary/Rationale of Subcommittee(s)/Task Force(s):