

Requesters: Colorado Interstate Gas Co., Wyoming Interstate Company, Ltd and Young Gas Storage, Ltd. Request No: R99008

1. RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Accept as requested X Accept as modified below Decline

EFFECT OF EC VOTE TO ACCEPT RECOMMENDED ACTION:

X Change to Existing Practice Status Quo

2. TYPE OF MAINTENANCE

Per Request:

- Initiation X Modification Interpretation Withdrawal
- Principle (x.1.z)
- Definition (x.2.z)
- Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
- ____Document (x.4.z)
- ____Data Element (x.4.z)
- <u>Code Value (x.4.z)</u>
- ____X12 Implementation Guide
- Business Process Documentation

Per Recommendation:

- Initiation X Modification Interpretation Withdrawal
- Principle (x.1.z)
- Definition (x.2.z)
- Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
- ____Document (x.4.z)
- X Data Element (x.4.z)
- X Code Value (x.4.z)
- X X12 Implementation Guide
- Business Process Documentation

3. RECOMMENDATION

SUMMARY:

- Add a new data element 'Re-post Indicator' to the following dataset:
 - Offer Upload (NAESB WGQ Standard 5.4.7)
- Add two code values to the Code Values Dictionary for the data element "Re-post Indicator" in the Offer Upload (NAESB WGQ Standard 5.4.7)
- Add four code values to the Code Values Dictionary for the data element "Validation Code (Heading)" in the Offer Upload Quick Response (NASEB WGQ Standard 5.4.8):

Requesters: Colorado Interstate Gas Co., Wyoming Interstate Company, Ltd and Young Gas Storage, Ltd.

Request No: R99008

DATA DICTIONARY (for new documents and addition, modification or deletion of data elements)

Document Name and No.:	Offer Upload, NAESB WGQ Standard 5.4.7
------------------------	--

Business Name (Abbreviation)	Definition	EBB Usage	EDI/FF Usage	Condition
Re-post Indicator (Re-post)	In the event a valid bid has not been received, this indicates that the offer should be re- posted for the next appropriate cycle after the close of the bid period.	<u>MA</u>	<u>MA</u>	

CODE VALUES LOG (for addition, modification or deletion of code values)

Document Name and No.: Offer Upload, NAESB WGQ Standard 5.4.7

Re-post Indicator

Code Value Description	Code Value Definition	Code Value
Yes_	[no definition necessary]	Y
No	[no definition necessary]	<u>N</u>

Document Name and No.: Offer Upload Quick Response, NAESB WGQ Standard 5.4.8

Validation Code (Heading)

Code Value	Code Value Description	Code Value Definition
<u>E536</u>	Invalid Re-post Indicator	[no definition necessary]
<u>E537</u>	Missing Re-post Indicator	[no definition necessary]
<u>W821</u>	Invalid Re-post Indicator	[no definition necessary]
<u>W822</u>	Re-post Indicator not used	[no definition necessary]

Requesters: Colorado Interstate Gas Co., Wyoming Interstate Company, Ltd and Young Gas Storage, Ltd. Request No: R99008

TECHNICAL CHANGE LOG (all instructions to accomplish the recommendation)

Offer Upload, NAESB WGQ Standard 5.4.7 Offer Upload Quick Response, NAESB WGQ Standard 5.4.8

Description of Change:
Offer Upload
Data Element Xref to X12
Heading REF: Add a new row after the Affiliate Indicator REF row as follows:
REF MA Re-post Indicator
X12 Mapping
Heading REF segment (position 050): REF02: Add "Re-post Indicator" to the end of the list of data elements.
Transaction Set Tables
REF Segments (Heading) table: Insert new row into the table at the bottom as follows:
Element Name = 'Re-post Indicator'
Usage = 'MA'
REF01 = 'RP'
REF02 = 'Y' [and on next sub-row] 'N'
Description = 'Yes' [and on next sub-row] 'No'
Offer Upload Quick Response
Transaction Set Tables
Errors and Warnings (Heading) table: Add new error in numerical order: E536 – Invalid Re-post Indicator
Errors and Warnings (Heading) table: Add new error in numerical order: E537 – Missing Re-post Indicator
Errors and Warnings (Heading) table: Add new warning in numerical order: W821 – Invalid Re-post Indicator
Errors and Warnings (Heading) table: Add new warning in numerical order: W822 – Re-post Indicator not used

4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

a. Description of Request:

Document Name and No.:

New standard data sets, elements and/or code values are requested under the GISB Capacity Release Related Standards (5.4.X) to accomplish the reposting of an offer to release capacity subsequent to the close of an open season. It is believed that this function could be accomplished on a Mutually Agreeable basis via EDI/EDM through the creation or modification of ANSI X.12 EDI data sets and/or code values. For the Submitting Entities, this function is currently accomplished interactively on their proprietary EBBs.

b. Description of Recommendation:

EBB Internet Implementation Subcommittee – March 9, 1999

Requesters: Colorado Interstate Gas Co., Wyoming Interstate Company, Ltd and Young Gas Storage, Ltd.

Request No: R99008

Motion:

IR50 Instruct Information Requirements Subcommittee to accommodate the mutually agreeable capacity release related business practice of reposting an offer to release capacity subsequent to the close of the bid season.

The motion passed unanimously.

Information Requirements Subcommittee

See minutes for the following NAESB WGQ Information Requirements Subcommittee meetings:

- September 10, 2002
- November 13, 2002

MOTION:

• Add the following data element at the header level:

Document Name and No.:

• Offer Upload

NAESB WGQ Standard 5.4.7

Business Name (Abbreviation)	Definition	EBB Usage	EDI/FF Usage	Condition
Re-post Indicator (Re-post)	In the event a valid bid has not been received, this indicates that the offer should be re- posted for the next appropriate cycle after the close of the bid period.	MA	MA	

• Add the following code values to the code values dictionaries as indicated below.

Document Name and No.:

Offer Upload	NAESB WGQ Standard 5.4.7
--------------	--------------------------

Re-post Indicator

Code Value Description	Code Value Definition	Code Value
Yes	[no definition necessary]	
No	[no definition necessary]	

Document Name and No.:

• Offer Upload Quick Response NAESB WGQ Standard 5.4.8

Validation Code (Heading)

Requesters: Colorado Interstate Gas Co., Wyoming Interstate Company, Ltd and Young Gas Storage, Ltd.

Request No: R99008

Code Value	Code Value Description	Code Value Definition
E	Invalid Re-post Indicator	[no definition necessary]
E	Missing Re-post Indicator	[no definition necessary]
W	Invalid Re-post Indicator	[no definition necessary]
W	Re-post Indicator not used	[no definition necessary]

Balanced Vote:	December 10	0, 2002	<u>3.00 </u> lr	n Favor	<u>0.00</u>	_Opposed
Vote For	Against	Total	Bala For		anced Bala ainst Tota	
End	-			-		
Users	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0
LDCs	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0
Pipelines	7	0	7	2.00	0.00	2
Producers	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0
Services	1	0	1	1.00	0.00	1
	8	0	8	3.00	0.00	3

Motion Passes

Technical Subcommittee

See minutes for the following NAESB WGQ Technical Subcommittee meetings: July 9, 2003

Balanced Vote:	July 9, 2003	<u>3</u> In Favor	<u> 0 </u> Opj	posed
Segments	Vote For	Balanced For	Vote Against	Balanced Against
End Users	0	0	0	0
LDCs	0	0	0	0
Services	1	1	0	0
Pipelines	8	2	0	0
Producers	0	0	0	0
Totals	9	3	0	0

c. Business Purpose:

d. Commentary/Rationale of Subcommittee(s)/Task Force(s):