



RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: Duke Energy

Request No.: R98027

1. Recommended Action:

- Accept as requested
- Accept as modified below
- Decline

Effect of EC Vote to Accept Recommended Action:

- Change to Existing Practice
- Status Quo

2. TYPE OF MAINTENANCE

Per Request:

- Initiation
- Modification
- Interpretation
- Withdrawal

- Principle (x.1.z)
- Definition (x.2.z)
- Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
- Document (x.4.z)
- Data Element (x.4.z)
- Code Value (x.4.z)
- X12 Implementation Guide
- Business Process Documentation

Per Recommendation:

- Initiation
- Modification
- Interpretation
- Withdrawal

- Principle (x.1.z)
- Definition (x.2.z)
- Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
- Document (x.4.z)
- Data Element (x.4.z)
- Code Value (x.4.z)
- X12 Implementation Guide
- Business Process Documentation

3. RECOMMENDATION

SUMMARY: * EII Task Force (October 1 - 2, 1998)
 * Add five code values for the Transaction Type data element in the Nomination, Scheduled Quantity, Imbalance and Invoice.

CODE VALUES LOG (for addition, modification or deletion of code values)

Document Name and No.: Nomination, 1.4.1
 Scheduled Quantity, 1.4.5
 Shipper Imbalance, 2.4.4
 Transportation/Sales Invoice, 3.4.1

Business Name	Usage	Code Value	Code Value Description	Code Value Definition
Transaction Type	various	22	No-Notice Service	A quantity of gas for no-notice service.

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: Duke Energy

Request No.: R98027

		17	<i>No-Notice Pre-Injection</i>	<i>A quantity of gas for a change of no-notice receipts in anticipation of a change in demand.</i>
		16	<i>No-Notice Balancing</i>	<i>A quantity of gas to resolve a current month no-notice imbalance.</i>
		24	<i>No-Notice Due Transportation Service Provider Balancing</i>	<i>A quantity of gas to resolve a prior month no-notice imbalance owed to the Transportation Service Provider.</i>
		25	<i>No-Notice Due Service Requester Balancing</i>	<i>A quantity of gas to resolve a prior month no-notice imbalance owed to the Service Requester.</i>

TECHNICAL CHANGE LOG (all instructions to accomplish the recommendation)

Document Name and No.: Nomination, 1.4.1
 Scheduled Quantity, 1.4.5
 Shipper Imbalance, 2.4.4
 Transportation/Sales Invoice, 3.4.1

Description of Change:
G850NMST – Nomination (1.4.1)
Transaction Set Tables
"SI 1000/234 Pairs (Sub-detail)" table: For data element Transaction Type, add the following code values ("Elem 234" column) and code value descriptions ("Description" column): 16 – No-Notice Balancing; 17 – No-Notice Pre-Injection; 22 – No-Notice Service; 24 – No-Notice Due Transportation Service Provider Balancing; 25 – No-Notice Due Service Requester Balancing
G865SQTS – Scheduled Quantity (1.4.5)
Transaction Set Tables
"SI 1000/234 Pairs (Sub-detail)" table: For data element Transaction Type, add the following code values ("Elem 234" column) and code value descriptions ("Description" column): 16 – No-Notice Balancing; 17 – No-Notice Pre-Injection; 22 – No-Notice Service; 24 – No-Notice Due Transportation Service Provider Balancing; 25 – No-Notice Due Service Requester Balancing
G811IMBL – Shipper Imbalance (2.4.4)
Transaction Set Tables
"SI 1000/234 Pairs (Sub-sub-detail)" table: For data element Transaction Type, add the following code values ("Elem 234" column) and code value descriptions ("Description" column): 16 – No-Notice Balancing; 17 – No-Notice Pre-Injection; 22 – No-Notice Service; 24 – No-Notice Due Transportation Service Provider Balancing; 25 – No-Notice Due Service Requester Balancing
G811TSIN – Transportation/Sales Invoice (3.4.1)
Transaction Set Tables

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: Duke Energy Request No.: R98027

"SI 1000/234 Pairs (Sub-detail – HL03 = ‘9’)" table: For data element Transaction Type, add the following code values (“Elem 234” column) and code value descriptions (“Description” column): 16 – No-Notice Balancing; 17 – No-Notice Pre-Injection; 22 – No-Notice Service; 24 – No-Notice Due Transportation Service Provider Balancing; 25 – No-Notice Due Service Requester Balancing

4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

a. Description of Request:

Add Transaction Type code values to the Nomination, Scheduled Quantity, Imbalance and Invoice.

b. Description of Recommendation:

EBB-Internet Implementation Task Force (October 1 - 2, 1998)

MOTION: Motion was made that the above request be forwarded to the Information Requirements Subcommittee for completion.

VOTE: The motion passed through a unanimous vote.

Information Requirements Subcommittee

MOTION:

* Add the following Transaction Type code values for the Nomination, Scheduled Quantity, Imbalance and Invoice.

Business Name	Usage	Code Value	Code Value Description	Code Value Definition
Transaction Type	various		<i>No-Notice Service</i>	<i>A quantity of gas for no-notice service.</i>
			<i>No-Notice Pre-Injection</i>	<i>A quantity of gas for a change of no-notice receipts in anticipation of a change in demand.</i>
			<i>No-Notice Balancing</i>	<i>A quantity of gas to resolve a current month no-notice imbalance.</i>
			<i>No-Notice Due Transportation Service Provider Balancing</i>	<i>A quantity of gas to resolve a prior month no-notice imbalance owed to the Transportation Service Provider.</i>
			<i>No-Notice Due Service Requester Balancing</i>	<i>A quantity of gas to resolve a prior month no-notice imbalance owed to the Service Requester.</i>

Sense of the Room: March 15 - 16, 1999

10 In Favor

0 Opposed

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: Duke Energy

Request No.: R98027

Segment Check (if applicable):

In Favor: ___ End-Users ___ LDCs ___ Pipelines ___ Producers ___ Services
Opposed: ___ End-Users ___ LDCs ___ Pipelines ___ Producers ___ Services

Technical Subcommittee

Sense of the Room: March 30, 1999 ___ 5 ___ In Favor ___ 0 ___ Opposed

Segment Check (if applicable):

In Favor: ___ End-Users ___ LDCs ___ Pipelines ___ Producers ___ Services
Opposed: ___ End-Users ___ LDCs ___ Pipelines ___ Producers ___ Services

c. Business Purpose:

Per the request: The addition of these Transaction Types will enable Texas Eastern to continue to support the existing No-Notice service provisions in Rate Schedules CDS and SCT of its tariff. Since these rate schedules provide for both firm and No-Notice service, a customer must have a way to identify which service he is using.

d. Commentary/Rationale of Subcommittee(s)/Task Force(s):

IR implemented per EIITF instructions.