

TECHNICAL CHANGE LOG (all instructions to accomplish the recommendation)

Document Name and No.: Firm Transportation and Storage - Offer 5.4.1

Description of Change:
G840CROF - Firm Transportation and Storage - Offer
X12 Mapping
Revise SAC segment notes: change "this segment is mandatory" phrase to "this segment is mandatory when the
Lesser Rate Indicator = 'Y' (header REF01 = "1Z", REF02 = "Y")".

4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

a. Description of Request:

Revise the usage of the SAC Segment in the Capacity Release - Firm Transportation and Storage - Offer X12 transaction from Mandatory to Conditional; required when the Lesser Rate Indicator = 'Y'.



This request is dependent upon the outcome of, and would best be addressed in conjunction with, Enron request R97065.

b. Description of Recommendation:

Business Practices Subcommittee

"BPS recommends that the business intent stated in R97065, R97066, R97067 and R97068 is agreed upon and passed to IR/Technical for implementation consistent with R97010, R97015, R97016, R97052 and R97053."

Sense of the Ro	om: May 21, 1997	2	<u>1</u> In Favor	0 Opposed		
Segment Check (if applicable):						
In Favor:	End-Users	LDCs	Pipelines	Producers	Services	
Opposed:	End-Users	LDCs	Pipelines	Producers	Services	

Information Requirements Subcommittee

Revise the usage of the SAC segment in the Firm Transportation and Storage - Offer (5.4.1) Implementation Guide from Mandatory to Conditional, required when the Lesser Rate Indicator = 'Y'.

Sense of the Room: June 24, 1997			<u>6</u> In Favor	<u> </u>		
Segment Check (if applicable):						
In Favor:	End-Users	LDCs	Pipelines	Producers	Services	
Opposed:	End-Users	LDCs	Pipelines	Producers	Services	

Technical Subcommittee							
Sense of the Ro	om: August 8, 1997	6	<u>In Favor</u>	0 Opposed			
Segment Check	(if applicable):						
In Favor:	End-Users	LDCs	Pipelines	Producers	<u>Services</u>		
Opposed:	End-Users	_LDCs	Pipelines	Producers	<u>Services</u>		

c. Business Purpose:

The usage of the SAC Segment will be updated and thus provide clear instructions to those implementing the standards.

d. Commentary/Rationale of Subcommittee(s)/Task Force(s):

IR: No objection to implementation of BPS recommendation or to revisions as requested.