		RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE				
Requester: Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Co. Request No.: R97051						

1. Recommended Action: _X_Accept as requested _Accept as modified be _Decline	Effect of EC Vote to Accept Recommended A _X_Change to Existing Practice elowStatus Quo	ction:
2. TYPE OF MAINTENANC	CE CE	
Per Request:	Per Recommendation:	
Initiation	Initiation	
X_Modification	_X_Modification	
Interpretation	Interpretation	
Withdrawal	Withdrawal	
Principle (x.1.z)	Principle (x.1.z)	
$\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ Definition (x.2.z)	Definition (x.2.z)	
X_Business Practice Sta	andard $(x.3.z)$ _X_Business Practice Standard $(x.3.z)$	
$\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ Document (x.4.z)	$\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ Document (x.4.z)	
Data Element (x.4.z)	Data Element (x.4.z)	
Code Value (x.4.z)	Code Value (x.4.z)	
X12 Implementation (GuideX12 Implementation Guide	
Business Process Doc	<u>*</u>	

3. RECOMMENDATION

STANDARD LANGUAGE (for addition, modification or deletion of a principle, definition or business practice standard)

Standard No. and Language: 1.3.27

The key should be composed of: service requester contract (Service Agreement), transaction type, upstream party, upstream contract (when applicable), receipt location (as applicable), downstream party (as applicable), downstream contract (when applicable), delivery location (as applicable), package ID, capacity type indicator (where mutually agreed) service provider activity code (where mutually agreed). Upon receipt by a service provider from a service requester of a transaction whose key data elements match those previously received by the service provider from the service requester, the service provider should then process the begin date/time and end date/time consistent with the intentions of the standard 1.3.7 and then process the rest of the transaction's data elements consistent with the applicable standards to determine the business results. When data is not supplied (e.g. is not applicable, is not supported or is not mutually agreed upon) the pertinant portion of the key would be determined to be null.

_		RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
	Req	uester: Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Co. Request No.: R97051

4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

a. Description of Request:

Transco proposes that GISB Standard 1.3.27 be modified to remove the data element Service Provider's Activity Code (Activity Code) from the transaction key. The Activity Code data element is used in Transco's current business practice, but we use it as a substitute for the transaction key, not a part of the transaction key. Therefore, it should in our view be removed from the list of key elements in the Standard.

b. Description of Recommendation:

Strike the words "service provider activity code (where mutually agreed)" from GISB Standard No. 1.3.27. No further implementation or technical changes are necessary to implement this request.

Information Requirements Subcommittee

Sense of the Ro	om: July 29, 1997		10 In Favor	<u>0</u> O ₁	pposed
Segment Check	k (if applicable):				
In Favor:	End-Users	LDCs	Pipelines	Producers	Services
Opposed:	End-Users	LDCs	Pipelines	Producers	Services

c. Business Purpose:

[Transco's] ability to use the Activity code in this manner in EDI exchanges is necessary to maintain [their] current level of service. When this proposed modification is incorporated into the Standard, Transco and other pipelines will be able to identify gas transactions in conformance with current business practices.

d. Commentary/Rationale of Subcommittee(s)/Task Force(s):

No objection to request. Activity code is used by a limited number of transportation service providers. This recommendation was supported by two TSP's who utilize the activity code and also had no opposition.