	<u>-</u> -	RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE						
Requester: Market Settlement Task Force Request No.: R97031(C)								
1. Recommended Action:			Effec	Effect of EC Vote to Accept Recommended Action:				
Accept as requested			d	X Change to Existing Practice				
X Accept as modified below			l below	Status Quo				

Decline 2. TYPE OF MAINTENANCE Per Request: **Per Recommendation:** X Initiation X Initiation X Modification _Modification Interpretation _Interpretation Withdrawal Withdrawal Principle (x.1.z) Principle (x.1.z) Definition (x.2.z)Definition (x.2.z)Business Practice Standard (x.3.z) X Business Practice Standard (x.3.z) Document (x.4.z)Document (x.4.z)X Data Element (x.4.z) Data Element (x.4.z) X Code Value (x.4.z) Code Value (x.4.z) X X12 Implementation Guide X12 Implementation Guide **Business Process Documentation Business Process Documentation**

3. RECOMMENDATION

STANDARD LANGUAGE (for addition, modification or deletion of a principle, definition or business practice standard)

Standard No. and Language: 3.3.x (new standard)

"Where no specific contract otherwise applies, in case of shipper level interest charges due from prior invoices, shipper level imbalance charges, and shipper level GRI refunds, a data element(s) should exist to support these charges due from the service requester. The invoice data sets (GISB Standards 3.4.x) should support a method of communicating this information at the service requester level.

4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

a. Description of Request:

Add two new data elements to the invoice data dictionary. These new data elements will be in the Summary Section of the invoice data set to allow allocation of charges or allowances at the

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

invoice/Service Requester level. The new elements would be "Invoice Level Charge/Allowance Descriptor" and "Invoice Level Charge/Allowance Amount". These data elements would have the usage of 'SO'.

Request No.: R97031(C)

Market Settlement Task Force

b. Description of Recommendation:

Requester:

Business Practices Subcommittee

The purpose of the request was to handle charges that are assessed at other than contract level. The requester, Tennessee Gas Pipeline, needs the ability to handle charges which are assessed at other than a contract level. An attendee asked if the account number data element could suffice for these business functions, -- the structure may not support the business functions. In discussions on request level, if another lower level should be specified, it should be forwarded on a different request. The BPS recommends the following motion:

3.3.x Where no specific contract otherwise applies, in case of shipper level interest charges due from prior invoices, shipper level imbalance charges, and shipper level GRI refunds, a data element(s) should exist to support these charges due from the service requester. The invoice data sets (GISB Standards 3.4.x) should support a method of communicating this information at the service requester level.

The Business Practices Subcommittee and Information Requirements Subcommittee recommendations should be separately considered for vote by the Executive Committee.

Sense of the Roo	om: March	March 13, 1997		<u>18</u> In Favor							
Segment Check (if applicable):											
In Favor:	1 End-Users	<u>1</u> LDCs	11 Pipelines	2 Producers	3 Services						
Opposed:	End-Users	LDCs	Pipelines	Producers	Services						

c. Business Purpose:

Per the request: The current structure of the invoice data set only allows charges or allowances assigned to specific contracts; therefore, charges at the Service Requester Level which are not specifically assigned to individual contracts must be plugged to an arbitrary selected contract, or to a dummy contract number assigned for the purpose. This change would allow accurate representation of the level to which invoice charges and allowances are assigned.

d. Commentary/Rationale of Subcommittee(s)/Task Force(s):