RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: ANR Pipeline

1. Recommended Action:

___Accept as requested
__X_Accept as modified below
__Decline

Effect of EC Vote to Accept Recommended Action:
__X_Change to Existing Practice
__X_Status Quo
__Status Quo

Request No.: R96121 A-5

2. TYPE OF MAINTENANCE

Per Request:	Per Recommendation:		
X Initiation	X Initiation		
X Modification	X Modification		
Interpretation	Interpretation		
Withdrawal	Withdrawal		
Principle (x.1.z)	Principle (x.1.z)		
Definition (x.2.z)	Definition (x.2.z)		
Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)	Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)		
Document (x.4.z)	Document (x.4.z)		
Data Element (x.4.z)	X Data Element (x.4.z)		
X Code Value (x.4.z)	X Code Value (x.4.z)		
_X_X12 Implementation Guide	_X_X12 Implementation Guide		
Business Process Documentation	Business Process Documentation		

3. RECOMMENDATION

DATA DICTIONARY (for new documents and addition, modification or deletion of data elements)

Document Name and No.: Transportation/Sales Invoice, 3.4.1

Business Name	iness Name Definition		Condition
Accounting Adjustment	Indicates how a prior period	MA	
Method	adjustment is presented.		
(Acct Adj Mthd)			
Adjustment Type	Indicates whether a prior period		
(Adj Type)	adjustment is processed as a reversal,		
	restatement or net.		

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE Requester: ANR Pipeline Request No.: R96121 A-5 Service Requester Level Accounting Adjustment Method (Svc Req Lvl Acct Adj Mthd) Service Requester Level Indicates whether a prior period Indicates whether a prior period

Adjustment Type

Document Name and No.: Service Requester Level Charge/Allowance Invoice, 3.4.x

restatement or net.

adjustment is processed as a reversal,

Business Name	Definition	Usage	Condition
Service Requester Level Accounting Adjustment Method (Svc Req Lvl Acct Adj Mthd)	Indicates how a service requester level prior period adjustment is presented.	SO	
Service Requester Level Adjustment Type (Svc Req Lvl Adj Type)	Indicates whether a prior period adjustment is processed as a reversal, restatement or net.		

^{*} Indicates Common Code

CODE VALUES LOG (for addition, modification or deletion of code values)

Document Name and No.: Transportation/Sales Invoice, 3.4.1

Business Name	Usage	Code Value	Code Value Description	Code Value Definition
Accounting Adjustment	MA	REV	Reversal	Negates the previously
Method (Acct Adj Mthd)				invoiced line item.
		RES	Restatement	Presents the revised invoice
				line item.
		NET	Net	Difference between the
				previously invoiced and the
				revised line item.

^{*} Indicates Common Code

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE Requester: ANR Pipeline Request No.: R96121 A-5

Document Name and No.: Transportation/Sales Invoice, 3.4.1

Service Requester Level Charge/Allowance Invoice, 3.4.x

Business Name	Usage	Code Value	Code Value Description	Code Value Definition
Service Requester Level	SO	REV	Reversal	Negates the previously
Accounting Adjustment				invoiced line item.
Method				
(Svc Req Lvl Acct Adj				
Mthd)				
		RES	Restatement	Presents the revised invoice
				line item.
		NET	Net	Difference between the
				previously invoiced and the
				revised line item.

BUSINESS PROCESS DOCUMENTATION (for addition, modification or deletion of business process documentation language)

Standards Book: Invoice Related Standards, Technical Implementation of Business Process description for Transportation/Sales Invoice, 3.4.1

Language:

[Revise data element name from Adjustment Type to Accounting Adjustment Method where present in the text.] Add the following language as the second to last paragraph:

"When presenting an accounting adjustment, there are two alternative presentations. (1) The reversal and restatement accounting adjustment methods may be used as a pair to back out and replace invoice data; or (2) the net accounting adjustment method may be used to present the adjustment amount between the previously invoiced amount and the revised amount."

Standards Book: Invoice Related Standards, Technical Implementation of Business Process description for Service Requester Level Charge/Allowance Invoice, 3.4.x

Language:

[Revise data element name from Service Requester Level Adjustment Type to Service Requester Level Accounting Adjustment Method where present in the text.]



RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: ANR Pipeline Request No.: R96121 A-5

TECHNICAL CHANGE LOG (all instructions to accomplish the recommendation)

Document Name and No.: Transportation/Sales Invoice (3.4.1)

Service Requester Level Charge/Allowance Invoice (3.4.x)

Description of Change:

G811TSIN - Transportation/Sales Invoice (3.4.1)

Data Element Xref to X12

Sub-detail SI: Change "Adjustment Type" to "Accounting Adjustment Method"

2nd Detail ITA (for Service Requester Level): change "Service Requester Level Adjustment Type" to "Service Requester Level Accounting Adjustment Method"

X12 Mapping

2nd Detail ITA Segment (for Service Requester Level): change "Service Requester Level Adjustment Type" to "Service Requester Level Accounting Adjustment Method"

Transaction Set Tables

"SI 1000/234 Pairs (Sub-detail - HL03 = '9')" table: change data element "Adjustment Type" to "Accounting Adjustment Method"

G811SRCA - Service Requester Level Charge/Allowance Invoice (3.4.4?)

Data Element Xref to X12

Detail ITA: change "Service Requester Level Adjustment Type" to "Service Requester Level Accounting Adjustment Method"

X12 Mapping

Detail ITA Segment: change "Service Requester Level Adjustment Type" to "Service Requester Level Accounting Adjustment Method"

	RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXE	SISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE		
Re	quester: ANR Pipeline	Request No.: R96121 A-5		

4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

a. Description of Request:

[The request was specific to charge type descriptions which were processed as R96121B. Please reference the Executive Committee discussion and procedural instructions below for an accurate description of the "request" surrounding R96121A.]

b. Description of Recommendation:

Executive Committee

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE DISCUSSION:

There are currently over 90 different charge types, some of which may overlap, and are not easily distinguishable. Information Requirements Subcommittee should review the terms for defining descriptions in a glossary, eliminating any redundancy and overlaps. Service codes should be reviewed at the same time with the same actions. Possibly this should be a joint Information Requirements Subcommittee and Business Practices Subcommittee effort. This item is also in our annual plan.

PROCEDURAL VOTE:

The revised recommendation is for the Information Requirements Subcommittee and Business Practices Subcommittee to review all codes for a higher degree of standardization.

Sense of the Room: March 5, 1997		_	<u> 1/</u> In Favor	<u> </u>			
Segment Check (if applicable):							
In Favor:	2 End-Users	4 LDCs	5 Pipelines	3 Producers	3 Services		
Opposed:	End-Users	LDCs	Pipelines	Producers	Services		

Business Practices Subcommittee

September 4, 1997 Business Practices Subcommittee Conference Call:

With respect to the Code value clean-up effort, Information Requirements is to undertake the effort and as with the current custom, should in the process of this effort, the Information Requirements Subcommittee identify business practice issues (i.e., controversies) they should refer those to the BPS for resolution.

(Note: No specific sense of the room was taken as the motion was procedural and instructional. There was no opposition stated by any of the 20 attendees on the conference call.)

Information Requirements Subcommittee

This request is split into two parts: **R96121A** is be assigned to the code value clean-up effort. **R96121B** is assigned to the definitions on the request.

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE Requester: ANR Pipeline Request No.: R96121 A-5 Sense of the Room: August 18, 1997 12 In Favor 0 Opposed Segment Check (if applicable): In Favor: **End-Users LDCs Pipelines Producers** Services **End-Users** Pipelines Producers Opposed: __LDCs __Services **DATA ELEMENT: Adjustment Type MOTION:** Delete 'Adjustment Type' data element from Invoice and replace with 'Accounting Adjustment Method'. Delete following code value descriptions from Adjustment Type: Reversal, Restatement, Net. Add the following new data element and associated code value descriptions to the Invoice: [See tables displayed in Section 3 of this Recommendation.] Revisions to Technical Implementation of Business Process for Invoice: Revise data element name from Adjustment Type to Accounting Adjustment Method. Add the following language as the second to last paragraph: "When presenting an accounting adjustment, there are two alternative presentations. (1) The reversal and restatement accounting adjustment methods may be used as a pair to back out and replace invoice data; or (2) the net accounting adjustment method may be used to present the adjustment amount between the previously invoiced amount and the revised amount." **Sense of the Room:** October 15, 1997 11 In Favor 0 Opposed **Segment Check** (if applicable): In Favor: **End-Users LDCs** Pipelines **Producers** Services Opposed: End-Users _LDCs Pipelines **Producers** Services IR revised to Accounting Adjustment Method as part of code value clean-up. On new SR Invoice (R97031B), we used old name (Service Requester Level Adjustment Type). Reversal, Restatement & Net are the code value descriptions. MOTION: We will revise data element name for consistency. And verify that code value definitions are still applicable. Revisions to Invoice and SR Level Invoice. Data Element: Service Requester Level Accounting Adjustment Method Definition: Indicates how a service requester level prior period adjustment is

presented.

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE Requester: ANR Pipeline Request No.: R96121 A-5 Revised Code Value Descriptions and Definitions will remain as is. No changes necessary. **Sense of the Room:** April 15, 1997 12 In Favor 0 Opposed Segment Check (if applicable): ___End-Users In Favor: ___LDCs Producers Pipelines Services ___End-Users __LDCs ___Services Opposed: ___Pipelines Producers **Technical Subcommittee** April 8, 1998: Pass recommendation back to IR to determine impact to Service Requester Level Charge/Allowance Invoice. No opposition. April 29, 1998: Implement per IR instructions. Sense of the Room: April 29, 1998 6 In Favor 0 Opposed Segment Check (if applicable): In Favor: **End-Users** LDCs **Pipelines** Producers Services Opposed: End-Users LDCs Pipelines Producers Services c. Business Purpose:

Review all codes for a higher degree of standardization.

d. Commentary/Rationale of Subcommittee(s)/Task Force(s):

IR: Approved modified data element and code value definitions, along with relevant documentation changes without opposition.