RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: ANR Pipeline Request No.: R96121 A-22

1. R	Recommended Action: Accept as requestedX_Accept as modified belowDecline	Effect of EC Vote to Accept Recommended Action: _X_Change to Existing Practice Status Quo
2. T	TYPE OF MAINTENANCE	
	Per Request:	Per Recommendation:
	X Initiation X Modification Interpretation Withdrawal	X Initiation X Modification Interpretation Withdrawal
	Principle (x.1.z)Definition (x.2.z)Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)Document (x.4.z)Data Element (x.4.z)X_Code Value (x.4.z)X_X12 Implementation GuideBusiness Process Documentation	Principle (x.1.z)Definition (x.2.z)Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)Document (x.4.z)Data Element (x.4.z)X_Code Value (x.4.z)X_X12 Implementation GuideBusiness Process Documentation

3. RECOMMENDATION

CODE VALUES LOG (for addition, modification or deletion of code values)

Document Name and No.: System-Wide Notices, 5.4.16

Business Name	Usage	Code Value	Code Value Description	Code Value Definition
Notice Type	M	1	Critical Period	
		2	System or Segment Maintenance	Notice of scheduled repair or maintenance to system/segment facilities or equipment which may impact services.
		3	Curtailment	[No definition necessary]
		4	System-Wide or Segment- Wide Operational Flow Order	[No definition necessary]
		5	Force Majeure	[No definition necessary]

	RECOMMENDATION T	O GISB EXECUTIVE CO	OMMITTEE
Requ	uester: ANR Pipeline	Request No.: R96121 A-22	
	6	Other	A notice which is not encompassed by any other type.
	7	Press Release/, Company News/ or Phone List, Etc.	[No definition necessary]
	8	Gas Scheduling Capacity Constraint	Notice of a capacity constraint resulting from

Capacity Discount

situations other than an Operational Flow Order, Curtailment or Force

A Transportation Service Provider's firm capacity that is being offered to Service Requesters at a rate that is below the maximum

Majeure.

tariff rate.

TECHNICAL CHANGE LOG (all instructions to accomplish the recommendation)

Document Name and No.:

Description of Change:				
G840SWNT - System Wide Notices (5.4.16)				
X12 Mapping				
MIT Segment: MIT01: delete code value 1; modify code values 2, 4, 5, 7, 8 and 9; See Code Values Log				

4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

a. Description of Request:

[The request was specific to charge type descriptions which were processed as R96121B. Please reference the Executive Committee discussion and procedural instructions below for an accurate description of the "request" surrounding R96121A.]

b. Description of Recommendation:

Executive Committee

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE DISCUSSION:

There are currently over 90 different charge types, some of which may overlap, and are not easily distinguishable. Information Requirements Subcommittee should review the terms for defining descriptions in a glossary, eliminating any redundancy and overlaps. Service codes should be reviewed at the same time with the same actions. Possibly this should be a joint Information Requirements Subcommittee and Business Practices Subcommittee effort. This item is also in our annual plan.

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE Requester: ANR Pipeline Request No.: R96121 A-22 PROCEDURAL VOTE: The revised recommendation is for the Information Requirements Subcommittee and Business Practices Subcommittee to review all codes for a higher degree of standardization. **Sense of the Room:** March 5, 1997 17 In Favor 0 Opposed Segment Check (if applicable): 5 Pipelines 3 Producers In Favor: 2 End-Users 3 Services 4 LDCs ___Producers __End-Users __LDCs Pipelines Opposed: Services **Business Practices Subcommittee** September 4, 1997 Business Practices Subcommittee Conference Call: With respect to the Code value clean-up effort, Information Requirements is to undertake the effort and as with the current custom, should in the process of this effort, the Information Requirements Subcommittee identify business practice issues (i.e., controversies) they should refer those to the BPS for resolution. (Note: No specific sense of the room was taken as the motion was procedural and instructional. There was no opposition stated by any of the 20 attendees on the conference call.) **Information Requirements Subcommittee** This request is split into two parts: **R96121A** is be assigned to the code value clean-up effort. **R96121B** is assigned to the definitions on the request. **Sense of the Room:** August 18, 1997 12 In Favor __0_Opposed **Segment Check** (if applicable): In Favor: ___End-Users __LDCs __Pipelines Producers ___Services ___Pipelines ___End-Users ___LDCs Producers ___Services Opposed: **Definitions for the types of notices: Capacity Constraint** Notice of a capacity constraint resulting from situations other than an Operational Flow Order, Curtailment or Force Majeure. **Capacity Discount**

- [To be defined at next IR meeting]
- Curtailment

No definition necessary

• Force Majeure

No definition necessary

Maintenance

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE Requester: ANR Pipeline Request No.: R96121 A-22 Notice of scheduled repair or maintenance to system/segment facilities or equipment which may impact services. **Operational Flow Order** No definition necessary Press Release, Company News or Phone List No definition necessary Other A notice which is not encompassed by any other type. **Sense of the Room:** February 18, 1998 9 In Favor _0_Opposed Segment Check (if applicable): ___End-Users In Favor: __LDCs _Pipelines Producers ___Services ___LDCs Opposed: End-Users ___Pipelines _Producers ___Services **MOTION** to adopt the following definition for 'Capacity Discount': "A Transportation Service Provider's firm capacity that is being offered to Service Requesters at a rate that is below the maximum tariff rate." Sense of the Room: April 23, 1998 5 In Favor _0 Opposed Segment Check (if applicable): ___End-Users In Favor: LDCs **Pipelines Producers** Services Opposed: LDCs ___Pipelines Producers **End-Users** ___Services **Technical Subcommittee** Sense of the Room: April 29, 1998 6 In Favor 0 Opposed Segment Check (if applicable): In Favor: **End-Users** LDCs **Pipelines Producers** Services LDCs Opposed: End-Users _Pipelines Producers Services

c. Business Purpose:

Review all codes for a higher degree of standardization.

d. Commentary/Rationale of Subcommittee(s)/Task Force(s):