

## **Requester: ANR Pipeline**

Request No.: R96121 A-17

1. Recommended Action:

\_\_\_\_Accept as requested \_X\_Accept as modified below Decline Effect of EC Vote to Accept Recommended Action: \_X\_Change to Existing Practice

\_\_\_\_Status Quo

# 2. TYPE OF MAINTENANCE

Per	<b>Request:</b>
-----	-----------------

### Per Recommendation:

X Initiation X Modification	X Initiation
Interpretation	Interpretation
Withdrawal	Withdrawal

Principle (x.1.z)	Principle (x.1.z)
Definition (x.2.z)	Definition (x.2.z)
Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)	Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
Document (x.4.z)	Document (x.4.z)
Data Element (x.4.z)	X Data Element (x.4.z)
<u>X</u> Code Value $(x.4.z)$	<u>X</u> Code Value $(x.4.z)$
<u>X</u> X12 Implementation Guide	<u>X</u> X12 Implementation Guide
Business Process Documentation	Business Process Documentation

# 3. RECOMMENDATION

DATA DICTIONARY (for new documents and addition, modification or deletion of data elements)

**Document Name and No.:** Nomination Quick Response, 1.4.2

Business Name	Definition	Usage	Condition
Validation Code	Code that identifies errors/warnings.	M (C)	Required when Transaction
	Code which indicates whether any		Status Code indicates that an
	errors or warnings were issued in		error or warning was issued.
	response to the originating		
	document.		



## **Requester: ANR Pipeline**

# Request No.: R96121 A-17

Document Name and No.:

PDA Quick Response, 2.4.2

Business Name	Definition	Usage	Condition
Validation Code	Code that identifies errors/warnings.	С	Required when the transaction
	Code which indicates the errors or		status code indicates that an
	warnings were issued in response to		error or warning was issued.
	the originating document.		

Document Name and No.:

UPPD Validation, 5.4.8

Business Name	Definition	Usage	Condition
Validation Code	Code that identifies errors/warnings.	С	Mandatory when UPPD status
	A code from a GISB maintained		indicates Validation Codes are
	validation code table which tells the		required.
	sender what exceptions were found		
	in the file.		

#### **Document Name and No.:**

UPPD Bidder Confirmation Validation, 5.4.11

Business Name	Definition	Usage	Condition
Validation Code	Code that identifies errors/warnings.	С	Required if Confirmation
	A code from a GISB maintained		Response status code indicates
	validation code table which tells the		confirmation not accepted.
	sender what exceptions were found		_
	in the file.		

\* Indicates Common Code

## **TECHNICAL CHANGE LOG** (all instructions to accomplish the recommendation)

**Document Name and No.:** 

Description of Change:	
No Technical Changes Needed	
110 Teenmeur Onunges Heeueu	

## 4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

## a. Description of Request:

[The request was specific to charge type descriptions which were processed as R96121B. Please reference the Executive Committee discussion and procedural instructions below for an accurate description of the "request" surrounding R96121A.]

# **RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE**

## Requester: ANR Pipeline

### Request No.: R96121 A-17

#### b. Description of Recommendation:

#### **Executive Committee**

#### EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE DISCUSSION:

There are currently over 90 different charge types, some of which may overlap, and are not easily distinguishable. Information Requirements Subcommittee should review the terms for defining descriptions in a glossary, eliminating any redundancy and overlaps. Service codes should be reviewed at the same time with the same actions. Possibly this should be a joint Information Requirements Subcommittee and Business Practices Subcommittee effort. This item is also in our annual plan.

#### PROCEDURAL VOTE:

The revised recommendation is for the Information Requirements Subcommittee and Business Practices Subcommittee to review all codes for a higher degree of standardization.

Sense of the Room: March 5, 1997		17 In Favor	<u>0</u> Opposed		
Segment Check (if applicable):					
In Favor:	2 End-Users	<u>4</u> LDCs	<u>5</u> Pipelines	<u>3</u> Producers	<u>3</u> Services
Opposed:	End-Users	LDCs	Pipelines	Producers	Services

#### **Business Practices Subcommittee**

September 4, 1997 Business Practices Subcommittee Conference Call:

With respect to the Code value clean-up effort, Information Requirements is to undertake the effort and as with the current custom, should in the process of this effort, the Information Requirements Subcommittee identify business practice issues (i.e., controversies) they should refer those to the BPS for resolution.

(Note: No specific sense of the room was taken as the motion was procedural and instructional. There was no opposition stated by any of the 20 attendees on the conference call.)

#### **Information Requirements Subcommittee**

This request is split into two parts: **R96121A** is be assigned to the code value clean-up effort. **R96121B** is assigned to the definitions on the request.

Sense of the Ro	oom: August 18,	1997	12 In Favor	<u>    0    </u> O	pposed
Segment Check	k (if applicable):				
In Favor:	End-Users	LDCs	Pipelines	Producers	<u>Services</u>
Opposed:	End-Users	LDCs	Pipelines	Producers	<u>Services</u>

# **RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE**

## **Requester: ANR Pipeline**

Request No.: R96121 A-17

Data Element:Validation CodeDocuments:Nomination Quick Response, 1.4.2PDA Quick Response, 2.4.2UPPD Validation, 5.4.8UPPD Bidder Confirmation Validation, 5.4.11

### **MOTION:**

Adopt modified (where applicable) and consistent definition for Validation Code for the above referenced documents. [See tables in Section 3 of this Recommendation Form.]

Sense of the Re	oom: March 18, 1	998	8 In Favor	<u>0</u> Op	posed
Segment Check	<b>k</b> (if applicable):				
In Favor:	End-Users	LDCs	Pipelines	Producers	Services
Opposed:	End-Users	LDCs	Pipelines	Producers	Services

#### **Technical Subcommittee**

	•				
Sense of the Room: April 2, 1998		_	9 In Favor	00	Opposed
ment Check	(if applicable):				
Favor:	End-Users	LDCs	Pipelines	Producers	Services
posed:	End-Users	LDCs	Pipelines	Producers	Services
		Favor : End-Users	ment Check (if applicable):    Favor: End-Users LDCs	Generation  Check (if applicable):    Favor: End-Users LDCs Pipelines	Generation  Check (if applicable):    Favor: End-Users LDCs Pipelines Producers

#### c. Business Purpose:

Review all codes for a higher degree of standardization.

#### d. Commentary/Rationale of Subcommittee(s)/Task Force(s):

IR: As part of code value cleanup effort, IR directs efforts toward consistent definitions for data elements with the same data element name present in different documents.