RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Request #: R96094

Type of Request (check all that apply) (E-5):

- A-3 _____ New Document (Data Dictionary attached)
- A-1 _____ New Data Element (Data Dictionary attached)
- A-6 _____ Revision to Data Element (Data Dictionary attached)
- A-2 ____ New Code Value (Table attached)
- A-2 _____ Revision to Code Value (Table attached)
- ____ Revision to Business Process Documentation
 - <u>X</u> Revision to X12
- A-4 _____ New Business Practice Standard
- A-5 _____ Revision to Business Practice Standard

Abstract / Discussion (E-1, E-3, E-4): Clarification and correction request only. The Surcharge Identification Tables were different in the "EDISIM" documents for the UPPD and UPPD Validation documents. They should be the same.

This correction was already noted by the Technical Task Force, and they have undertaken to correct the EDISIM documentation. MITF agreed with the correction and the sense of the room was taken to validate this correction. No specific action is needed on this request, correction will be made pursuant to another request.

Applicable Documents: UPPD, UPPD Validation.

Associated Revisions: None.

Is Revision Required to Support an Existing GISB Standard? If So, State Standard Number and Language: No.

Applicable to Upstream/Downstream Process? No. If So, State Task Force Referred To:

Sense of the Room Results: <u>7</u> In Favor; <u>0</u> Opposed Per January 28, 1997 MITF meeting.

Executive Committee Sponsor: Norm Walker

GISB Subcommittee/Task Force: Market Initiation Task Force - Capacity Release

Requester: Enron

Due Date (E-6): December, 1997