RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Request #: R96038

Type of Requ	est (ch	eck all that apply) (E-5):
A-3		New Document (Data Dictionary attached)
A-1		New Data Element (Data Dictionary attached)
A-6	_X	Revision to Data Element (Data Dictionary attached)
A-2		New Code Value (Table attached)
A-2		Revision to Code Value (Table attached)
		Revision to Business Process Documentation Revision to X12
A-4		New Business Practice Standard
A-5		Revision to Business Practice Standard

Abstract / Discussion (E-1, E-3, E-4):

To ensure consistent implementation by Allocation Preparers, the definition of Allocation Rank Level should be revised to show that 1 is the highest priority and priority is order in descending order from 1 to 999 for Rank method. In the Percentage Method, percentages are recorded as 1 to 100 for percentages of 1% to 100%.

Applicable Documents:

PDA Data set (standard 2.4.1)

Associated Revisions:

N/A

Is Revision Required to Support an Existing GISB Standard? If So, State Standard Number and Language:

N/A

Applicable to Upstream/Downstream Process? If So, State Task Force Referred To

Technical Task Force, SI 1000/234 table in the ANSI ASC X12 should be modified.

Sense of the Room Results: 16 In Favor; 0 Opposed

Executive Committee Sponsor: Bob Wallenhorst

GISB Subcommittee/Task Force: Market Settlement

Requester: PanEnergy

Due Date (E-6): December 10, 1996

- DATA DICTIONARY REQUEST # R96038 PROPOSED REVISIONS

Business Name	Definition	Usage (E-2)	Condition
Allocation Rank Level	Values to implement the ranking or percentage method. for the Rank method priority 1 means the highest priority. Priority 2, 3, etc. are in descending order of priority. Quantities assigned the same rank will be allocated on a pro rata basis. For the Percentage method enter the appropriate percentage.		

* Indicates Common Code

CODE VALUES PROPOSED REVISIONS

REQUEST # R96038

Business Name Usage Code Value

Code Value Description