RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Request #: R96020

Type of Request(check all that apply) (E-5):

- A-3 _____ New Document (Data Dictionary attached)
- A-1 New Data Element (Data Dictionary attached)
- A-6 _____ Revision to Data Element (Data Dictionary attached)
- A-2 <u>X</u> New Code Value (Table attached)
- A-2 ____ Revision to Code Value (Table attached)
 - ____ Revision to Business Process Documentation ____ Revision to X12
- A-4 _____ New Business Practice Standard
- A-5 _____ Revision to Business Practice Standard

Abstract / Discussion (E-1, E-3, E-4):The requested Validation Code value (Request Selected Has Been Rejected) would inform the nominating party that the nomination being submitted is already in the TSP's system with a status of 'rejected'. This request was rejected by METF. GISB Standard 1.3.7 states: "All nominations should be considered original nominations and should be replaced to be changed. ..." Thus, the nomination should be rejected again using the existing Quick Response Validation Code values. A new code value is not required.

Applicable Documents: Quick Response

Associated Revisions: N/A

Is Revision Required to Support an Existing GISB Standard? If So, State Standard Number and Language: N/A

Applicable to Upstream/Downstream Process? If So, State Task Force Referred:Tol/A

Sense of the Room Results: 2 In Favor; 10 Opposed

Executive Committee Sponsor:Norm Walker

GISB Subcommittee/Task Force: Market Execution Task Force

Requester: PanEnergy

Due Date (E-6): 3/97